

Table 1: RCD 4 Re-establishment of Records Comment and Responses

May 11, 2020

Comment Number	Comment	Comment Response(s)
Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT); Beth Neuendorf, P.E. in an email dated May 6, 2020 to the Rice Creek Watershed District (RCWD)		
MnDOT - 1	Page 9, Map 2: This map indicates that RCD4 is abandoned just south of TH 36, but the map on Page 18, Figure 2 shows the "as designated alignment" and "current alignment" continuing south of TH 36. Will the section south of TH 36 be reestablished? If not, can the length of the "as designated alignment" and "current alignment" of RCD4 south of TH 36 be adjusted or a note be added to page 18, Figure 2? Or does the City of Roseville own the whole portion south of TH 36?	<p>The portions of RCD 4 south of TH 36 were abandoned by Ramsey County in 1971. The abandoned portions of the system exist as indicated in the alignment on Figure 2, but are the responsibility of the City of Roseville and/or other road authorities traversed by the stormsewer.</p> <p>The extent of the RCD 4 public drainage system are indicated on Figures 4 through 8. These figures indicated the extent of the public drainage system ending at TH 36.</p>
MnDOT - 2	Page 18, Figure 2, Main Trunk: The current main trunk alignment of RCD4 crosses TH 36 perpendicular to the highway in a 42" RCP approximately 15' below ground. The "as designated alignment" crosses TH 36 at an angle. The ACSIC profile was based on the existing profile, correct? Can there be some mention in this report that the current alignment will not be adjusted as this section is currently in stormsewer and the alignment was based on the in ground condition?	The ACSIC profile is based on the existing alignment, which in turn is a component of the ACSIC. A deviation from the current alignment would require a separate drainage proceeding.
MnDOT-3	Page 18, Figure 2, Lateral 1: There is not an "as designated alignment" for Lateral 1. Is it the same as the current alignment? RCD4 crosses TH 51 south of Terrace Rd in a 54" RCP that is approximately 18' below ground. Is the ACSIC profile the same as the existing profile?	No historical documentation regarding the originally established alignment of Lateral 1 is available. The extents of the ACSIC alignment are based on a 1971 plan reconstructing the entire lateral. The ACSIC profile and alignment are the same as the existing profile and alignment.
MnDOT - 4	Appendix - R/W maps: There is no PIN number for the section of RCD4 on MnDOT R/W because it is highway R/W. Can a sentence be added to this section to that effect? The map for the section of RCD4 Lateral 1 along and crossing TH 51 shows that the 54" RCP pipe is in 55' and 40' to 45' of R/W and where the Main Trunk of RCD4 crosses TH 36 in 50' of R/W. Isn't the whole pipe on MnDOT R/W in these areas?	The maps in the Appendix are depicting the right-of-way of the public drainage system. The purpose of these maps is to generally to indicate existing encumbrances on private properties, based on the footprint of the drainage system and the area reasonably necessary to complete inspection and maintenance on the system. This right of way does overlap public road right-of-way in some locations. The District recognizes that substantial coordination with the road authority is necessary should a repair be required in these locations.