2015 ROUTINE BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT



BRIDGE # 93626 CSAH 22(KELLER PY) over CO DITCH # 1

DISTRICT: Metro

COUNTY: Ramsey

CITY/TOWNSHIP: Little Canada

Date(s) of Inspection: 10/28/2015 Equipment Used:

Owner: County Highway Agency

Inspected By: Bodelson, Dan; Essler, Brian

Report Written By: Dan Bodelson Report Reviewed By: Nicklaus Fischer Final Report Date: 01/05/2016

MnDOT Bridge Office 3485 Hadley Avenue North Oakdale, MN 55128



Table of Contents

SECTION		PAGE
COVER		1
SI&A		2
ADDITIONAL ROADWAYS		3
ROUTINE INSPECTION DATA		4
PICTURES		7
THUMBNAIL PICTURES		10
CULVERT		11
CHANNEL		12
SCOUR POA		14
CHANNEL X-SECTION		15
MAINTENANCE		16
STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT R	EPORT - ROUTINE	17

MnDOT Structure Inventory Report

Bridge ID: 93626 CSAH 22(KE	ELLER PY) OVER CO DITCH # 1	- Date: 01/05/2016
GENERAL	ROADWAY	INSPECTION
Agency Br. No.	Bridge Match ID (TIS) 0	Userkey 102
District Metro	Roadway O/U Key Route On Structure	Unofficial Structurally Deficient N
Maint. Area Crew	Route Sys 04 - CSAH Number 22	Unofficial Functionally Obsolete N
County 062 - Ramsey	Roadway Name or Description	Unofficial Sufficiency Rating 87.0
City Little Canada	CSAH 22	Routine Inspection Date 10/28/2015
Township	Level of Service 1 - MAINLINE	Routine Inspection Frequency 24
Desc. Loc. 0.3 MI NE OF JCT CSAH 58	Roadway Type 2 - 2-way traffic	Inspector Name County, Ramsey
Sect., Twp., Range 5 - 029N - 22W	Control Section (TH Only)	Status A - Open
Latitude Deg 45 Min 1 Sec 24.58	Reference Point 000+00.233	
Longitude Deg 93 Min 4 Sec 29.08		NBI CONDITION RATINGS Deck N - Not Applicable
Custodian 02 - County Highway Agency	Detour Length 1.0 mi	Deck N - Not Applicable
Owner 02 - County Highway Agency	Lanes On 2 Under 0 ADT 2639 Year 2008	Superstructure N - Not Applicable
BMU Agreement	ADT 2639 Year 2008 HCADT 0 ADTT 0 %	SubstructureN - Not ApplicableSubstructureN - Not Applicable
Year Built 1973	Functional Class 16 - Urban - Minor Arterial	Channel 6 - Bank slump; minor damage
MN Year Reconstructed		Culvert 5 - Mod. to major deterioration
FHWA Year Reconstructed	RDWY DIMENSIONS	NBI APPRAISAL RATINGS
MN Temporary Status		Structure Evaluation 5
Bridge Plan Location 3 - COUNTY	Roadway Width 32.00 ft. ft.	Deck Geometry N
Date Opened to Traffic 7/1/1973	Vertical Clearance ft. ft.	Underclearances N
On-Off System 0 - OFF	Max. Vert. Clear. ft. ft.	Water Adequacy 8 - Bridge Above Approache
Legislative District 54B	Horizontal Clear. ft. ft.	Approach Alignment 7 - Better than present minir
STRUCTURE	Lateral Clearance ft. ft.	SAFETY FEATURES
Service On 1 - Highway	Appr. Surface Width32.0ft.	Bridge Railing N - NOT REQUIRED
Service Under 5 - Waterway	Bridge Roadway Width 0.0 ft.	GR Transition N - NOT REQUIRED
Main Span Type	Median Width On Bridge ft.	Appr. Guardrail N - NOT REQUIRED
5 - Prestress or Precast 15 - Pipe Arch	MISC. BRIDGE DATA	GR Termini N - NOT REQUIRED
Main Span Detail	Structure Flared 0 - No flare	
Appr. Span Type	Parallel Structure N - No parallel structure	Y/N Freq Date
	Field Conn. ID	Frac. Critical
Appr. Span Detail	Abutment Foundation N - N/A	Underwater
Skew 0	(Material/Type) N - N/A	Pinned Asbly.
Culvert Type 102"X62"	Pier Foundation N - N/A	Spec. Feat.
Barrel Length 53 ft.	(Material/Type) N - N/A	WATERWAY
Cantilever ID	Historic Status 5 - Not eligible	Drainage Area (sq. mi.)
NUMBER OF SPANS		Waterway Opening 69 sq. ft.
MAIN: 2 APPR: 0 TOTAL: 2	PAINT	Navigation Control 0 - No nav. control on waterw
Main Span Length 8.5 ft.	Year Painted	Pier Protection
Structure Length 19.9 ft.	Unsound Paint %	Nav. Clr. (ft.) Vert. ft. Horiz. ft.
Deck Width (Out-to-Out) 0.0 ft.	Painted Area sq. ft.	Nav. Vert. Lift Bridge Clear. (ft.)
Deck Material N - Not Applicable	Primer Type	MN Scour Code E - CULVERT Year
Wear Surf Type 6 - Bituminous	Finish Type	CAPACITY RATINGS
Wear Surf Install Year	BRIDGE SIGNS	Design Load 5 - HS 20
Wear Course/Fill Depth 1.22 ft.	Posted Load 0 - Not Required	Operating Rating 5 - NRAP 24.0
Deck Membrane 0 - None	·	Inventory Rating 5 - NRAP 18.0
Deck Rebars N - Not Applicable (no deck)	'	Posting VEH: SEMI: DBL:
Deck Rebars Install Year	Horizontal 0 - Not Required	Rating Date 01/16/1985
Structure Area (Out-to-Out) 0 sq. ft.	Vertical N - Not Applicable	MnDOT Permit Codes
Roadway Area (Curb-to-Curb)sq. ft.Sidewalk WidthLt 0.00ft.Rt0.00ft.		A: N - N/A
Curb Height Lt 0.00 ft. Rt 0.00 ft.		B: N - N/A
Rail Type Lt NN Rt NN		C: N - N/A

MnDOT Structure Inventory Report

Additional Roadways

Bridge ID: 93626

CSAH 22(KELLER PY) over CO DITCH # 1

Date: 01/05/2016

MnDOT BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

01/05/2016

Inspector: County, Ramsey

BRIDGE 93626 CSAH 22(KELLER PY) OVER CO DITCH # 1

ROUTINE INSP. DATE: 10/28/2015

County	: Ramsey		Loc	ation: 0.3 M	II NE OF JCT	CSAH	58	Length:		19.9 ft.		
City:	Little Canad	а	Rou	ite: 04 - CSA	H 22 Ref.	Pt.: 0	00+00.233	Deck Wid	th:	0.0 ft.		
Towns	hip:		Cor	trol Section:				Rdwy. Are	ea/ Pct. Un	snd: sq.ft	./%	
Sectior	n: 5 Town	ship: 029N R	ange: 22W N	laint. Area:				Paint Area	a/ Pct. Uns	nd: sq. ft	/%	
Span T List:	Type: 1 - Concrete frame culve		ncludes L	ocal Agency B	Bridge Nbr.:			Culvert: Postings:	102"X6	62"		
NBI De	eck: N Super	: N Sub:	N Chan:	6 Culv: 5	5							
				Open, F	osted, Closed	d: A -	Open					
				MN Sco	ur Code: E -	CULV	ERT					
	sal Ratings - Appr		Waterway: 8							cturally De		Ν
Requir	ed Bridge Signs -	-	-		Traffic:		lot Required			ctionally O		Ν
		Horizntal:	0 - Not Require	D	Vertical:	N - ſ	Not Applicable	Un	official Suf	ficiency Ra	iting	87.0
Struct	ure Unit:											
ELEM NBR	ELEMEN	T NAME	ENV R	EPORT TYPE	INSP. D	ATE	QUANTITY	QTY CS 1	QTY CS 2	QTY CS 3	QTY CS 4	QTY CS 5
241	Reinforced Con	crete Culvert	2	Routine	10/28/2	015	105 LF	0	0	105	0	N/A
				Routine	10/14/2	013	105 LF	0	0	105	0	N/A
		Requires	Monitoring		Moni	tored						
		There are mod There is a pate There are mod Minor cracking Lift holes show	is moderate sc derate spalls cu ch @ culvert #1 derate spalls th g & leaching with v signs of leaka najor moderate	Ivert #1 section section #1 20 roughout culve h slight separa ige and stress	ons #2 & #5 20 007-2015. ert #2 with exp ation of joints cracks. All se	009-20 posed 2001-2 ections	15. reinforcing and 2015. are tied on ins	corrosion	2009-2018	5.	osion 20()3-2015.
361	Scour Smart Fla	a	2	Routine	10/28/2	015	1 EA	0	1	0	N/A	N/A
		5	-	Routine	10/14/2		1 EA	0	1	0	N/A	N/A
			Manitaring									
		Requires	Monitoring		Moni	torea						
			ate slumping @ apron ends 20		05-2015.							
388	Culvert Headwa		2	Routine	10/28/2	015	2 EA	0	2	0	0	N/A
				Routine	10/14/2	013	2 EA	0	2	0	0	N/A
		Requires	Monitoring		Moni	tored						
		There is a con Culvert #2 nor Culvert #2 has There is vertic Minor cracks a There is delam	is moderate sp crete patch at of th apron headw s some spalling al cracking at N and spalls present ination w/corror e east end of a	culvert #1 wes vall @ west en with corrosior IE apron 2005 ent 2001-2007 osion @ top of	t apron 2007- nd has expose n @ both apro -2015. aprons #1,#2	2009. ed reinf ons 200	forcing steel.)7-2015.	on present	both ends	2009-2015	5.	

Structure Unit: ELEM QTY QTY QTY QTY QTY CS 4 ELEMENT NAME ENV REPORT TYPE INSP. DATE QUANTITY NBR CS 1 CS 2 CS 3 CS 5 0 1 N/A 964 Critical Finding Smart Flag 2 Routine 10/28/2015 1 EA N/A N/A 0 N/A 1 F A N/A N/A Routine 10/14/2013 1 Requires Monitoring Monitored Notes: DO NOT DELETE THIS CRITICAL FINDING SMART FLAG. Signing 2 1 EA 1 0 0 0 0 981 Routine 10/28/2015 0 0 0 1 EA 1 0 Routine 10/14/2013 Requires Monitoring Monitored Notes: Horizontal clearance signs are in place 2000-2015. Approach Guardrail 1 EA 0 0 1 N/A N/A 982 1 Routine 10/28/2015 0 0 1 F A 1 N/A N/A Routine 10/14/2013 Requires Monitoring Monitored Notes: No guardrail system in place 1997-2015. **Slopes & Slope Protection** 4 EA 0 4 0 N/A N/A 985 Routine 10/28/2015 1 Routine 10/14/2013 Requires Monitoring Monitored Notes: [2015] Minor to moderate erosion on all 4 apron ends. [2015] Moderate erosion of rip rap on east end, between culverts. Roadway over Culvert 1 EA 0 1 0 N/A N/A 987 1 Routine 10/28/2015 Routine 10/14/2013 1 EA 0 1 0 N/A N/A Requires Monitoring Monitored Notes: Moderate cracking and moderate settlement 2011-2015. 2015 Bridge safety inspection was conducted by Dan Bodelson & Brian Essler on 10/28/2015 General Notes: 2013 Bridge safety inspection was conducted by B. Essler & D. Bodelson on 10/14/2013 2011 Bridge safety inspection was conducted by B. Wieman on 10/18/2011. 2009 Inspection was completed by B. Wieman 7/30/2009. There is moderate - major erosion of slope @ SW corner 2009. The metal railing on the east side is tipping outward. Metal post has exposed footing @ SW corner 2009. Railing is in place, but offers no protection 2011. 2005 Inspected 9/14/2005 by Bret Wieman. * Constructed in 1973. 2 - 102" X 62" X 53'L concrete arch pipe. Placed during Co. ditch #16 reconstruction as part of I35E drainage system. Metal railing installed in summer of 1995. 59' west side of street & 50' east side of the street. 58. Deck NBI: Keller Pkwy. over culvert 36A. Brdg Railings NBI: No guardrail 36B. Transitions NBI: No guardrail 36C. Appr Guardrail NBI: No guardrail

BRIDGE 93626 CSAH 22(KELLER PY) OVER CO DITCH # 1

ROUTINE INSP. DATE: 10/28/2015

BRIDGE 93626 CSAH 22(KELLER PY) OVER CO DITCH # 1

Structure l	Unit:										
ELEM NBR	ELEMENT	NAME	ENV	REPORT TYPE	INSP. DATE	QUANTITY	QTY CS 1	QTY CS 2	QTY CS 3	QTY CS 4	QTY CS 5
	oppr Guardrail Terminal NBI:	No guardrail									
59. Supers	structure NBI:	Keller Pkwy.	over culve	ert							
60. Subs	structure NBI:	Keller Pkwy.	over culve	ert							
61.	Channel NBI:	Channel has	minor age	gradation							
62	. Culvert NBI:	Culvert has r	moderate s	spalling							
71. Waterw	ay Adeq NBI:	Greater than	3' of freeb	ooard							
	ppr Roadway	Minor sight d	listance pr	oblem, no speed redu	uction required.						
Inv	entory Notes:										

Dan Bodelson

Inspector's Signature

Nicklaus Fischer

Reviewer's Signature

Pictures



Photo 1 - east end



Photo 2 - roadway north

Pictures



Photo 3 - roadway south



Photo 4 - spall on west end

Pictures



Photo 5 - west end



Photo 6 -







3. roadway south.JPG



4. spall on west end.JPG



5. west end.JPG

1. east end.JPG

roadway north.JPG



6. east end south .JPG

10

Culvert

Bridge No.: 93626

	Culvert							
Item	Description	Condition	Comments					
Culvert Overall:	NBI Item 62	5	Culvert has moderate spalling					
MnDOT Scour Code:	E - CULVERT							

U1	Scour	Code:	E - CULVERT

	Waterway Inspection							
ltem No.	Yes, No, NA or Not Visible	Description						
1.		Is there a significant build-up of debris?						
2.		Is there erosion of the embankment around the headwalls?						
3.		Is there any indication of cracking or settlement of the culvert barrel or headwalls?						
4.		Is there shifting of the channel alignment or erosion of the stream banks? Also are there cracks in the soil of the banks parallel to the stream?						
5.		Do scour measurements indicate that the streambed is below the bottom of the cutoff walls at the ends of the culvert?						
6.		Is there evidence of distress in the roadway or approaches such as cracks in the pavement and sags in the guardrail or roadway? Also, is there cracking, erosion, or failure of the side slopes at or adjacent to the culvert?						
7.		Is there an indication of "piping" of water along the outside of the culvert such as cavities adjacent to the barrel?						
8.		Is the culvert without a bottom and scour measurements indicate that the streambed is below the plan streambed elevations?						
9.		Has the riprap or other scour protection been damaged or otherwise made ineffective?						
10.		If the culvert was designed to be buried (fill inside the culvert), is the material still in the barrel?						

Notes:

- Streambed sounding data is to be documented.

- Soundings of the streambed should be done at each end of the culvert. If Items #5 or #8 are "Yes", then a streambed profile of the scoured area should be done.

- If "Yes" is the answer to any items on the checklist, notify the Program Administrator for further instructions.

Bу

Comments:

Completed On

Channel

				Bridge No.:	93626			
			Chann	el				
	ltem	Description	Condition		Comments			
Channe	l Overall:	NBI Item 61	6	Channel has	minor aggradation			
		В	ank Protection	/Revetment				
Upstrea	Item m Bank Protectior	Description 1:	Condition		Comments			
Downst	ream Bank Protect	tion:						
Bridge I	Revetment:							
MnDOT	Scour Code:	E - CULVERT						
			Underwater In	spection				
Underw	ater Inspection By	Divers:						
No. of P	iers To Be Inspect	ed:						
			Naterway Char	acteristics				
Reference Point:		High Water Elev.:			Current Water Elev.:			
Pile Tip Elev.:		Low Water Elev.:			Current Streambed Elev.:			
		Scour Ho	ole Elev.:		Current Scour Hole Elev.:			
		Waterway Ins	spection: (Not a	applicable fo	r culverts)			
ltem No.	Yes, No, NA or Not Visible		Descript	tion				
1.		Is there a significant build-	up of debris?					
2.		Is there a change in the ho	rizontal alignment	of the handrail c	or structure members such as be	ams?		
3.		Is there any indication of ve	ertical movement o	of the superstruc	ture?			
4.		Is there shifting of the char banks parallel to the strear		rosion of the stre	eam banks? Also are there crack	ks in the soil of the		
5.		Is there a significant chang	e in the alignment	of hte exterior b	earings?			
6.		Are there cracks or other s	igns of distress in t	the approach pa	vement?			
7.		Is the water currently on the superstructure?						
8.		Are the slopes unstable?						
9.		Do scour measurements in	ndicate: (place a ch	eck by all that a	pply.)			
		A. that the streamed	is two or more feet	t below the botto	om of pier footings which are sup	ported on piles?		
		B. scour below the be	ottom of spread foo	otings?				
		C. scour below the b	ottom of high abutr	ment footings?				
		D. that the streambed	d has scoured five	feet or more bel	ow the original streambed elevat	tion at pier bents?		

10.

Notes:

- Streambed sounding data is to be documented.

- Per MnDOT Bridge Inspection Manual Section 2.2.5, at bridges that require x-sections, take channel x-sections, along the upstream and/or downstream face of the bridge.

- If "Yes" is the answer to any items on the checklist, notify the Program Administrator for further instructions.

Comments:

Completed On By

Scour POA

Bridge No.: 93626

Scour POA

1. Is POA on File?

2. Date of most recent POA:

3. Here is a link to MnDOT's Bridge Scour website for other resources:

- <u>http://www.dot.state.mn.us/bridge/hydraulics/scour.html</u>
- The Scour POA should be kept in the bridge file and/or uploaded to SIMS using the "Inspection Files" tab.

Implementation

Scour POAs are required to be implemented by FHWA.

1. Is this POA being implemented?

Channel Section

	<u>Upstream</u>		<u>[</u>	Downstream	
Custom Label	Location	Elevation	Custom Label	Location	Elevation

Distance Measured From: Elev. of Ref. Pt: Depth to Water Surface: WS Elev: Vertical Datum: Comments: Distance Measured From: Elev. of Ref. Pt: Depth to Water Surface: WS Elev: Vertical Datum:

Maintenance

Element S	Source Code	Work Code	Description	P/R	Priority	Work Order #	Year Due	Last Viewed	Entered	Start Date	Completed	
-----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	-----	----------	--------------	----------	-------------	---------	------------	-----------	--



BRIDGE STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT REPORT

PURPOSE:

This report is a structural assessment of the structure and its ability to carry loads based on conditions identified in the attached bridge inspection report. The assessment is only a cursory review intended to provide guidance as to the relative hazards for structural conditions and deficiencies identified. This report is mandatory for all fracture critical bridges and is completed by the MnDOT Bridge Office upon receipt of the 7 Day FC Report; however, it is an OPTIONAL tool for agencies to utilize at their discretion for all other inspection types.

BRIDGE NO.: 93626	BRIDGE OWNER: County Highway Agency
DATE INSPECTED: 10/28/2015	STRUCTURE TYPE: Concrete
FACILITY CARRIED: CSAH 22(KELLER PY)	Culvert (includes frame culverts) FEATURES INTERSECTED: CO DITCH # 1
TYPE OF INSPECTION: Image: ROUTINE Image: FRACTURE Image: FRACTURE Image: PINNED ASS Image: PINNED ASS Image: SPECIAL: Image: PINNED ASS Image: Check all that apply: Image: PINNED ASS	
Redundancy: Load Path Image: Structural Image: Image: Image: Structural	ConnectionRivetedType:BoltedWeldedOther:
1. Was a critical finding identified during this i structural review?	inspection or upon 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
a) If selected " Yes " above, state briefly the	e finding(s):
2. If a critical finding was identified, what is th	ne current status?
a) Briefly state actions taken:	
3. Does the condition of any bridge component function? Examples of bridge components w include elements that are: frozen or immove	with impaired function

misaligned, distorted or structurally deformed, excessively

deteriorated, cracked, broken, eroded or scoured.

a) If selected "**Yes**" above, state briefly the component(s) and condition(s):

4.	Does the overall condition of the bridge, or any of its components	☐ Yes	🗌 No
	mentioned in Question 3, suggest the need for detailed structural		
	analysis and/or a revised load rating?		

- a) If selected "**Yes**", state the reason for this recommendation and indicate a proposed timeframe in accordance with State of Minnesota Rule 8810.9500 (Subpart 2):
- 5. Based on the structural assessment of these findings, recommendations include:

Repair/Maintenance	Monitoring Plan
Other	Increased Inspection Frequency

Explain recommended actions:

6. Other comments:

Bridge Office Reviewer